

March 1, 2021

Via Electronic Mail to: CC.PLR.userfee.comments@irscounsel.treas.gov

William M. Paul Acting Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Avenue Washington, DC 20224

Dear Mr. Paul,

Recently, the Internal Revenue Service issued Revenue Procedure 2021-1, 2021-1 I.R.B. 1 (January 4, 2021), setting forth the procedures and fees for private letter ruling requests and significantly increasing the general fee for private letter rulings from \$30,000 to \$38,000. At the same time that Rev. Proc. 2021-1 was released, the IRS issued a *Counsel Statement and Invitation for Public Comment on PLR User Fee Increase* (the "Counsel Statement"). The Counsel Statement explains that the increase in the PLR user fee was driven by a combination of the costing method, a decline in the number of overall rulings issued (which the IRS acknowledges may, in part, be a result of past increases in user fees) and an increase in the relative complexity of the rulings that are requested ¹. The Counsel Statement recognizes the critical role that private letter rulings play in the tax administration process and solicits public input on how the fee structure might better match the specific rulings requested.

The National Association of Bond Lawyers ("NABL") fully agrees that the private letter ruling process plays a significant role in tax administration but observes that the significant size of the user fee, even before the recent increase, has discouraged taxpayers from seeking private letter rulings. In a letter dated November 4, 2019, and subsequent letters dated March 4, 2020, and September 18, 2020 (collectively, the "Prior Letters"), NABL suggested that the significant increase of the user fee over the years has resulted in a meaningful drop in the number of PLRs issued to state and local governments (a point consistent with the Counsel Statement). NABL further provided reasons why state and local governments should be a specific category of taxpayers that are subject to a lower user fee and recommended that the Internal Revenue Service reduce the user fee that is charged to state and local governments for PLRs. Copies of the Prior Letters are enclosed with this letter. NABL asks that this letter and the Prior Letters be considered as part of the comments submitted in response to the Counsel Statement.

President TERI M. GUARNACCIA BALTIMORE, MD

President-Elect ANN D. FILLINGHAM LANSING, MI

Treasurer **JOSEPH E. SMITH**BIRMINGHAM, AL

Secretary
CAROL J. MCCOOG
PORTLAND, OR

Immediate Past President RICHARD J. MOORE SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Directors:

M. JASON AKERS NEW ORLEANS, LA

MICHAEL G. BAILEY CHICAGO, IL

VICTORIA N. OZIMEK AUSTIN, TX

SARA DAVIS BUSS PITTSBURGH, PA

MATTHIAS M. EDRICH
DENVER, CO

JOLINDA L. HERRING MIAMI, FL

Chief Operating Officer LINDA H. WYMAN WASHINGTON, DC

Director of Governmental Affairs JESSICA R. GIROUX WASHINGTON, DC

Arguably, the higher concentration of complex rulings is also a function, at least in part, of past increases in user fees. An increase in the costs necessary to obtain a private letter ruling may raise the threshold below which a taxpayer is willing to take a position without a private letter ruling, leaving only the more complex questions submitted for ruling requests.

If the Internal Revenue Service cannot agree to lower user fees generally for ruling requests for state and local governments, we urge that lower user fees be available for routine ruling requests. Examples of routine requests under Sections 103 and 141-150 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 would include all requests relating to extensions of deadlines or correction of inadvertent errors in filings and documentation and requests relating to tax elections not otherwise covered by the reduced user fee for certain requests under Treasury Regulations Section 301.9100. We observe that Chief Counsel attorneys in Branch 5 would be in a position to provide useful feedback regarding rulings provided in past years that have required fewer resources and should be eligible for reduced ruling fees.

These supplemental comments were prepared by an ad hoc task force comprising the individuals listed on Appendix A and were approved by the NABL Board of Directors. If NABL can provide further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact Jessica Giroux, Director of Governmental Affairs, in our Washington DC office, at (518) 469-1565 or at jgiroux@nabl.org.

Sincerely,

Teri M. Guarnaccia

President, National Association of Bond Lawyers

CC:

Allyson Belsome, Senior Manager, Tax-Exempt Bonds, Internal Revenue Service

Ursula S. Gillis, Chief Financial Officer, Internal Revenue Service

Helen M. Hubbard, Associate Chief Counsel, Financial Institutions and Products, Internal Revenue Service

Edward Killen, Acting Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division, Internal Revenue Service

Johanna Som de Cerff, Acting Branch Chief of Branch 5, Financial Institutions and Products, Internal Revenue Service

Kathryn Zuba, Associate Chief Counsel, Procedure and Administration, Internal Revenue Service

Enclosures:

NABL Letter to the IRS dated September 18, 2020

NABL Letter to the IRS dated March 4, 2020

NABL Letter to the IRS dated November 4, 2019

APPENDIX A NABL AD HOC TASK FORCE

Rebecca Harrigal, Chair

Greenberg Traurig 2700 Two Commerce Square 2001 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: 215-988-7836

Email: harrigalr@gtlaw.com

Brian P. Teaff

Bracewell LLP 711 Louisiana Street, Suite 2300 Houston, TX 77002

Phone: (713) 221-1367

Email: brian.teaff@bracewell.com

Michael G. Bailey

Law Office of Michael G. Bailey 701 Laurel Ave Wilmette, IL 60091-2816 Telephone: 224-522-1989

Email: mike@mbaileylaw.com

Christie Martin

Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo P.C. 1 Financial Center Boston, MA 02111-2621 Telephone: 617-348-1769

Email: clmartin@mintz.com

Matthias M. Edrich

Kutak Rock LLP 1801 California Street, Suite 3000 Denver, CO 80202

Phone: (303) 292-7887

Email: matthias.edrich@kutakrock.com